

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,167	01/0	3/2002	Helmut Kreuzer	1764 4987	
7.	590	11/02/2005		EXAMINER	
Striker Striker 103 East Neck		KIM, PAUL D			
Huntington, NY 11743				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<b>G</b> ,				3729	

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/937,167	KREUZER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Paul D. Kim	3729		

before the riling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul D. Kim	3729	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 October 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
following time periods:  a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on		) and the appropriate exte	ension fee have
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened standard in the shortened patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS	•	·	,
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		pecause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) $igsqcup$ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	,		
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>4-9 and 11</u> . Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 12</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>10 and 20</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	7/1
		1/6/ 6	1/0//
	•	/ K DEXTERTU	ebang/ /

PRIMARY EXAMINER

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant argues that the prior art of record fails to disclose the claimed invention such as "all winding sides that are inserted into each slots". Applicant argues that the all winding sides are three winding sides, not two winding sides. There are no such descriptions in the specification that all winding sides are three winding sides, not two winding sides. The core windings of Adachi et al. are already reshaped prior to insert into the slots. It is meant that all winding sides of the core of Adachi et al. is already reshaped. In addition, a new claim 21 has been amended to include the limitation of "all three winding sides that are inserted into each slot" as recited in lines 8-9. The limitation was not recited originally. Accordingly, this raises new issues that would require further consideration and search.